

On New Quantum Codes From Matrix Product Codes

Xiusheng Liu*, Hualu Liu, Long Yu

School of Mathematics and Physics, Hubei Polytechnic University,
Huangshi 435003, China

Abstract

In this paper, by using matrix product codes, several classes of new quantum codes are obtained. Moreover, some of them have better parameters than the previous quantum codes available.

Key Words: Quantum codes, Matrix product codes, Hermitian construction

1 Introduction

Quantum error-correcting codes play an important role in quantum communications and quantum computations. After the pioneering work in [4, 14, 15], the theory of quantum codes has developed rapidly in recent decade years. As we know, the approach of constructing new quantum codes which have good parameters is an interesting research field. However, obtaining the parameters of the new quantum codes, especially the new good quantum codes, is a difficult problem. Recently, a lot of new quantum codes have been constructed by classical linear codes with Hermitian dual containing, which can be found in [1, 2, 5–10].

Matrix product codes over finite fields were introduced in [11]. Many well-known constructions can be formulated as matrix-product codes. For example, the $(u|u+v)$ -construction, the $(u+v+w|2u+v|u)$ -construction, the $(a+x|b+x|a+b+x)$ -construction, and etc. The constructed codes mentioned above can be viewed special cases of matrix product codes (See [11]). Recently, Galindo et.al in [14] constructed some new quantum codes from matrix-product codes and the Euclidean construction. In [15], by using generalized Reed-Solomon codes and special matrix with order 2, Zhang and Ge gave three new

*Corresponding author.

Email addresses: lxs6682@163.com(Xiusheng Liu), hwlulu@aliyun.com(Hualu Liu), longyuhbpu@163.com(Long Yu)

classes of quantum MDS codes from generalized Reed-Solomon codes and presented a new construction of quantum codes via matrix-product codes and the Hermitian construction. Following this line, more new quantum codes can be obtained from matrix-product codes and the Hermitian construction. On the one hand, we study a class of matrix over finite fields. By using these matrix, we give a new construction of quantum codes via matrix-product codes and the Hermitian construction. And our results generalize some previous works in [14, 15]. On the other hand, several classes of new quantum MDS codes are obtained from matrix-product codes and the Hermitian construction. Moreover, some new quantum codes obtained in this paper have better parameters than the quantum codes listed in table online [13].

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 recalls the basics about linear codes, matrix-product codes and quantum codes. In Section 3, we give two new constructions of quantum codes by using matrix-product codes. Section 4, a brief summary of our work is described.

2 Preliminaries

The following notations are fixed throughout this paper:

- m, n are positive integers, and q is a power of prime.
- p is prime, and \mathbb{F}_q be the finite field with q elements. $\mathbb{F}_q^* = \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$.
- $\text{Tr}_1^m(\cdot)$ denotes the trace function from \mathbb{F}_{p^m} to \mathbb{F}_p , i.e. $\text{Tr}_1^m(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} x^{p^i}$, $x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}$.
- $\omega = e^{\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}{p}}$ is a complex primitive p -th root of unity.
- \mathbb{F}_q^n denotes the vector space of all n -tuples over \mathbb{F}_q .

For any two vectors $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$, $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$, the Euclidean inner product of \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} is defined as

$$\langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i \in \mathbb{F}_q.$$

Let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n$ be a code of length n over \mathbb{F}_q , and the dual code of \mathcal{C} is defined as

$$\mathcal{C}^\perp = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n \mid \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{c} \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } \mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{C}\}.$$

If \mathcal{C} is linear, then we have $|\mathcal{C}| \cdot |\mathcal{C}^\perp| = q^n$.

Let $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ be a vector. Let $w_H(\mathbf{x})$ denote the Hamming weight of \mathbf{x} and $d_H(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ denote the Hamming distance of \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} . We let $d_H(\mathcal{C})$ denote the minimum

Hamming distance of the code \mathcal{C} . A code \mathcal{C} of length n over \mathbb{F}_q with the minimum Hamming distance $d_H(\mathcal{C})$ is called an $[n, |\mathcal{C}|, d_H(\mathcal{C})]_q$ code. If \mathcal{C} is a linear code, then it called an $[n, k, d_H(\mathcal{C})]_q$ code, where k is the dimension of \mathcal{C} .

Let l be a power of prime and $q = l^2$. For any two vectors $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$, $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$, the Hermitian inner product of \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} is defined as

$$\langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \rangle_h = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i^l \in \mathbb{F}_q.$$

Let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n$ be a code of length n over \mathbb{F}_q , and the Hermitian dual code of \mathcal{C} is defined as

$$\mathcal{C}^{\perp_h} = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n \mid \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{c} \rangle_h = 0 \text{ for all } \mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{C}\}.$$

If \mathcal{C} is linear, then we also have $|\mathcal{C}| \cdot |\mathcal{C}^{\perp_h}| = q^n$. Moreover, it is easy to check that $(\mathcal{C}^{\perp_h})^{\perp_h} = \mathcal{C}$.

A linear code \mathcal{C} is called Hermitian (Euclidean) dual-containing if $\mathcal{C}^{\perp_h} \subset \mathcal{C}$ ($\mathcal{C}^{\perp} \subset \mathcal{C}$). Let $a = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$, we denote $a^l = (a_1^l, a_2^l, \dots, a_n^l)$. For a code \mathcal{C} of length n over \mathbb{F}_q , we denote \mathcal{C}^l as $\{a^l \mid \text{for all } a \in \mathcal{C}\}$. Hence, we have that if \mathcal{C} is linear, then $\mathcal{C}^{\perp_h} = (\mathcal{C}^l)^{\perp}$. Therefore, \mathcal{C} is Hermitian dual-containing if and only if $(\mathcal{C}^l)^{\perp} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ which is equivalence to $\mathcal{C}^l \subseteq \mathcal{C}^{\perp}$.

2.1 The Matrix Product Codes

Let $s \leq m$ and $A = (a_{ij})_{s \times m}$ be an $s \times m$ matrix over \mathbb{F}_q . let $\mathcal{C}_1, \dots, \mathcal{C}_s$ be codes of length n over \mathbb{F}_q . The matrix product codes $\mathcal{C} = [\mathcal{C}_1, \dots, \mathcal{C}_s]A$ is the set of all matrix product $[\mathbf{c}_1, \dots, \mathbf{c}_s]A$, where $\mathbf{c}_i \in \mathcal{C}_i$ are $n \times 1$ column vectors for $1 \leq j \leq s$. If $\mathcal{C}_1, \dots, \mathcal{C}_s$ are all linear codes with generator matrices G_1, \dots, G_s , respectively, then we have $[\mathcal{C}_1, \dots, \mathcal{C}_s]A$ is a linear code generated by the following matrix

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}G_1 & a_{12}G_1 & \cdots & a_{1m}G_1 \\ a_{21}G_2 & a_{22}G_2 & \cdots & a_{2m}G_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ a_{s1}G_s & a_{s2}G_s & \cdots & a_{sm}G_s \end{pmatrix}.$$

For any integer k with $1 \leq k \leq s$, we denote that the i th rows of A generates a linear code of length m over \mathbb{F}_q by $U_A(k)$, where $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. Let A_t be the matrix consisting of the first t rows of $A = (a_{ij})_{s \times m}$. For $1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_t \leq m$, we let $A(j_1, j_2, \dots, j_t)$ be a $t \times t$ matrix consisting of columns j_1, j_2, \dots, j_t of A_t .

Definition 2.1. [11] Let the notations be given as above. A matrix A is called full-row-rank(FRR) matrix if its row vectors are linearly independent. If $A(j_1, j_2, \dots, j_t)$ is non-singular for any $1 \leq t \leq s$ and $1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \dots, j_t \leq m$, then A is said to be non-singular by columns(NSC).

In the following, we list some useful lemmas on matrix-product codes, which can be found in [11].

Lemma 2.2. [11] *Assume the notations given as above. Let \mathcal{C}_i be an $[n, k_i, d_i]_q$ linear code for $1 \leq i \leq s$ and $A = (a_{ij})_{s \times m}$ be an FRR matrix. Let $\mathcal{C} = [\mathcal{C}_1, \dots, \mathcal{C}_s]A$, then \mathcal{C} is an $[nm, \sum_{i=1}^s k_i, d(\mathcal{C})]_q$ linear code. Moreover, we have*

$$d(\mathcal{C}) \geq \min\{d_1 d(U_A(1)), d_2 d(U_A(2)), \dots, d_s d(U_A(s))\}.$$

Lemma 2.3. [11] *Assume the notations given as above. Let \mathcal{C}_i be an $[n, k_i, d_i]_q$ linear code for $1 \leq i \leq s$ and A be an $s \times m$ NSC matrix. Let $\mathcal{C} = [\mathcal{C}_1, \dots, \mathcal{C}_s]A$, then*

$$(i) \quad d(\mathcal{C}) \geq d^* = \min\{md_1, (m-1)d_2, \dots, (m-s+1)d_s\};$$

$$(ii) \quad \text{If } A \text{ is also upper-triangular then } d(\mathcal{C}) = d^*.$$

Lemma 2.4. [11] *Let the notations given as above. Let A is an $s \times s$ non-singular matrix and $\mathcal{C}_1, \dots, \mathcal{C}_s$ be linear codes over \mathbb{F}_q , then*

$$([\mathcal{C}_1, \dots, \mathcal{C}_s]A)^\perp = [\mathcal{C}_1^\perp, \dots, \mathcal{C}_s^\perp](A^{-1})^T.$$

Furthermore,

$$(i) \quad \text{If } A \text{ is a } s \times s \text{ NSC matrix, then}$$

$$d(\mathcal{C}^\perp) \geq (d^\perp)^* = \min\{sd_s^\perp, (s-1)d_{s-1}^\perp, \dots, d_1^\perp\};$$

$$(ii) \quad \text{If } A \text{ is a upper-triangular matrix, then}$$

$$d(\mathcal{C}^\perp) = (d^\perp)^*.$$

2.2 Quantum Codes

Let $q = p^m$. Let $V_n = \mathbb{C}^{q^n} = \mathbb{C}^q \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbb{C}^q$ be the Hilbert space and let $|x\rangle$ be the vectors of an orthogonal basis of \mathbb{C}^{q^n} , where $x \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Then V_n has the following orthogonal basis

$$\{|c\rangle = |c_1 c_2 \dots c_n\rangle = |c_1\rangle \otimes |c_2\rangle \otimes \dots \otimes |c_n\rangle : c = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n\}.$$

For $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q$, the unitary linear operators $X(a)$ and $Z(b)$ in \mathbb{C}^q are defined as

$$X(a)|x\rangle = |x+a\rangle, \quad Z(b)|x\rangle = \omega^{\text{Tr}_1^m(bx)}|x\rangle.$$

For $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$, we let $X(\mathbf{a}) = X(a_1) \otimes \dots \otimes X(a_n)$ and $Z(\mathbf{a}) = Z(a_1) \otimes \dots \otimes Z(a_n)$ be the tensor products of n error operators. Then $E_n = \{X(\mathbf{a})Z(\mathbf{b}) : \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n\}$ is an error basis on the complex vector space \mathbb{C}^{q^n} , and $G_n = \{w^c X(\mathbf{a})Z(\mathbf{b}) : \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n, c \in \mathbb{F}_p\}$ is the error group associated with E_n .

Definition 2.5. Let quantum code Q of length n be a subspace of V_n with dimension $K > 1$. If $K > 2$ and Q detects $d - 1$ quantum digits of errors for $d \geq 1$, we call Q is a symmetric quantum code (SQC), and denote it by $((n, K, d))_q$ or $[[n, k, d]]_q$, where $k = \log_q K$. Namely, if for every orthogonal pair $|u\rangle, |v\rangle$ in Q with $\langle u|v \rangle = 0$ and every $e \in G_n$ with $W_Q(e) \leq d - 1$, $|u\rangle$ and $e|v\rangle$ are orthogonal, i.e., $\langle u|e|v \rangle = 0$. Such a quantum code is called pure if $\langle u|e|v \rangle = 0$ for any $|u\rangle$ and $|v\rangle$ in Q and any $e \in G_n$ with $1 \leq W_Q(e) \leq d - 1$. A quantum code Q with $K = 1$ is always pure.

Let us recall the SQC Q construction:

Theorem 2.6. [6] Let C be a classical linear $[n, k, d]_q$ code. If $C^{\perp_h} \subseteq C$, then there exists an SQC Q with parameters $[[n, 2k - n, \geq d]]_q$ that is pure to d .

To see that an SQC Q is good in terms of its parameters, we have to introduce the quantum Singleton bound (See [6]).

Theorem 2.7. [6] Let Q be an SQC with parameters $[[n, k, d]]_q$. Then $2d \leq n - k + 2$.

If an SQC Q with parameters $[[n, k, d]]_q$ attains the quantum Singleton bound $2d = n - k + 2$, then it is called an SQC maximum-distance-separable code (SQCMDS).

3 New Quantum Codes From Matrix Product Codes

Throughout this section, Let l be a power of odd prime and $A = (a_{ij})$ be an $s \times s$ matrix over \mathbb{F}_{l^2} . Let $A^{(l)} = (a_{ij}^l)$, we have the following result.

Theorem 3.1. Let $C_i \subset \mathbb{F}_{l^2}^n$ be an $[n, k_i^*, d_i]_l$ code and $C_i^{\perp_h} \subset C_i$, where $1 \leq i \leq s$. Let $C = [C_1, C_2, \dots, C_s]A$. If $A^{(l)}A^T$ is a diagonal square matrix, then $([C_1, C_2, \dots, C_s]A)^{\perp_h} \subset [C_1, C_2, \dots, C_s]A$, i.e., $C^{\perp_h} \subset C$. In particular, if $[(A^{(l)})^{-1}]^T = aA$, where $a \in \mathbb{F}_{l^2}^*$, then $([C_1, C_2, \dots, C_s]A)^{\perp_h} \subset [C_1, C_2, \dots, C_s]A$.

Proof. Assume that $A^{(l)}A^T = D = \begin{pmatrix} u_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & u_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & u_s \end{pmatrix}$, with $u_i \in \mathbb{F}_{l^2}^*$. Then $A^{(l)}A^TD^{-1} =$

I. By Lemma 2.4 and note that $\mathcal{C}^{\perp_h} = (\mathcal{C}^l)^{\perp}$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} ([C_1, \dots, C_s]A)^{\perp_h} &= ([C_1^l, \dots, C_s^l]A^{(l)})^{\perp_e} \\ &= [(C_1^l)^{\perp_e}, \dots, (C_s^l)^{\perp_e}][(A^{(l)})^{-1}]^T \\ &= [C_1^{\perp_h}, \dots, C_s^{\perp_h}][(A^{(l)})^{-1}]^T \\ &= [C_1^{\perp_h}, \dots, C_s^{\perp_h}][(A^T)D^{-1}]^T \end{aligned}$$

$$= [C_1^{\perp_h}, \dots, C_s^{\perp_h}] D^{-1} A.$$

Since $D^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} u_1^{-1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & u_2^{-1} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & u_s^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$ and $u_k^{-1} C_k^{\perp_h} = C_k^{\perp_h}$ for $k = 1, \dots, s$, we obtain

$$[C_1^{\perp_h}, \dots, C_s^{\perp_h}] D^{-1} = [u_1^{-1} C_1^{\perp_h}, \dots, u_s^{-1} C_s^{\perp_h}] = [C_1^{\perp_h}, \dots, C_s^{\perp_h}].$$

Therefore,

$$C^{\perp_h} = [C_1^{\perp_h}, \dots, C_s^{\perp_h}] D^{-1} A = [C_1^{\perp_h}, \dots, C_s^{\perp_h}] A \subset [C_1, \dots, C_s] A = C.$$

□

Let s be a positive integer with $s \mid (l^2 - 1)$. Let $G_2 = \langle a : a^2 = 1 \rangle$ and $G = \underbrace{G_2 \times G_2 \times \cdots \times G_2}_r$. It is easy to check G is an abelian group. Let \widehat{G} be the set of characters

of G with respect to \mathbb{F}_{l^2} . Then we have $\widehat{G} = \{\chi_0, \chi_1, \dots, \chi_{s-1}\}$, where $\chi_0, \chi_1, \dots, \chi_{s-1}$ are all irreducible characters of G . For any $j = 0, 1, \dots, s-1$, one has $\chi_j(g)^2 = 1$, where $g \in G$. Therefore, we have the following character table

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_0(g_0) & \chi_1(g_0) & \cdots & \chi_{s-1}(g_0) \\ \chi_0(g_1) & \chi_1(g_1) & \cdots & \chi_{s-1}(g_1) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \chi_0(g_{s-1}) & \chi_1(g_{s-1}) & \cdots & \chi_{s-1}(g_{s-1}) \end{pmatrix},$$

where $g_0, g_1, \dots, g_{s-1} \in G$. Since l is odd, then we have $l^2 = 2t + 1$ for some integer t . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} A^{(l)} &= \begin{pmatrix} (\chi_0(g_0))^l & (\chi_1(g_0))^l & \cdots & (\chi_{s-1}(g_0))^l \\ (\chi_0(g_1))^l & (\chi_1(g_1))^l & \cdots & (\chi_{s-1}(g_1))^l \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ (\chi_0(g_{s-1}))^l & (\chi_1(g_{s-1}))^l & \cdots & (\chi_{s-1}(g_{s-1}))^l \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} (\chi_0(g_0))^{2t+1} & (\chi_1(g_0))^{2t+1} & \cdots & (\chi_{s-1}(g_0))^{2t+1} \\ (\chi_0(g_1))^{2t+1} & (\chi_1(g_1))^{2t+1} & \cdots & (\chi_{s-1}(g_1))^{2t+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ (\chi_0(g_{s-1}))^{2t+1} & (\chi_1(g_{s-1}))^{2t+1} & \cdots & (\chi_{s-1}(g_{s-1}))^{2t+1} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \chi_0(g_0) & \chi_1(g_0) & \cdots & \chi_{s-1}(g_0) \\ \chi_0(g_1) & \chi_1(g_1) & \cdots & \chi_{s-1}(g_1) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \chi_0(g_{s-1}) & \chi_1(g_{s-1}) & \cdots & \chi_{s-1}(g_{s-1}) \end{pmatrix} = A \end{aligned}$$

Since A is invertible, then

$$(A^{(l)})^{-1} = A^{-1} = \frac{1}{s} \begin{pmatrix} \chi_0(g_0)^{-1} & \chi_0(g_1)^{-1} & \cdots & \chi_0(g_{s-1})^{-1} \\ \chi_1(g_0)^{-1} & \chi_1(g_1)^{-1} & \cdots & \chi_1(g_{s-1})^{-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \chi_{s-1}(g_0)^{-1} & \chi_{s-1}(g_1)^{-1} & \cdots & \chi_{s-1}(g_{s-1})^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that for any $j = 0, 1, \dots, s-1$ $\chi_j(g)^2 = 1$, we always have $\chi_j(g)^{-1} = \chi_j(g)$, which implies that $[(A^{(l)})^{-1}]^T = \frac{1}{s}A$.

We need the following corollary to construct quantum codes.

Corollary 3.2. *Assume the notations given as above. Let $l^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, and \mathcal{C}_i be an $[n, k_i, d_i]_{l^2}$ linear codes satisfying $\mathcal{C}_j^{\perp_h} \subset \mathcal{C}_j$, where $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Then there exists a Hermitian dual containing code over \mathbb{F}_{l^2} with the parameter $[4n, k_1+k_2+k_3+k_4, \min\{4d_1, 2d_2, 2d_3, d_4\}]_{l^2}$.*

Proof. Let $G = G_2 \times G_2 = \{(1, 1), (x, 1)(1, y), (x, y) : x^2 = y^2 = 1\}$. Then the character table of G is

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By above discussion of A and Theorem 3.1, we get the matrix product code $\mathcal{C} = [\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2, \mathcal{C}_3, \mathcal{C}_4]A$ satisfies $\mathcal{C}^{\perp_h} \subset \mathcal{C}$. By Lemma 2.2, \mathcal{C} has parameter $[4n, k_1+k_2+k_3+k_4, \min\{4d_1, 2d_2, 2d_3, d_4\}]_{l^2}$. \square

The followings can be found in [5, 14].

Lemma 3.3. *Assume the notations given as above. If $l^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, then*

- (i) *there exists a Hermitian dual containing $[l^2 - 1, l^2 - d, d]_{l^2}$ code for $1 \leq d \leq l + 1$;*
- (ii) *there exists a Hermitian dual containing $[l^2, l^2 + 1 - d, d]_{l^2}$ code for $2 \leq d \leq l$.*

Lemma 3.4. *Let the notations be given as above. If $l \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, then there exists a Hermitian dual containing $[l^2 + 1, l^2 + 2 - d, d]_{l^2}$ MDS code for $2 \leq d \leq l + 1$.*

Now, we present a approach to construct some quantum codes.

Theorem 3.5. *Let the notations be given as above and $l^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Then*

- (i) *there exists an $[[4l^2 - 4, 4l^2 + 4 - 4d - \frac{d}{2}, \geq d]]_l$ quantum code, where $4 \leq d \leq l$ and $d \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$.*
- (ii) *there exists an $[[4l^2 - 4, 4l^2 + 6 - 4d - \frac{d+1}{2}, \geq d]]_l$ quantum code, where $4 \leq d \leq l$ and $d \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$.*

- (iii) *there exists an $[[4l^2, 4l^2 + 8 - 4d - \frac{d}{2}, \geq d]]_l$ quantum code, where $4 \leq d \leq l$ and $d \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$.*
- (iv) *there exists an $[[4l^2, 4l^2 + 6 - 4d - \frac{d+1}{2}, \geq d]]_l$ quantum code, where $4 \leq d \leq l$ and $d \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$.*
- (v) *there exists an $[[4l^2 + 4, 4l^2 + 12 - 4d - \frac{d}{2}, \geq d]]_l$ quantum code, where $4 \leq d \leq l + 1$ and $d \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$.*
- (vi) *there exists an $[[4l^2 + 4, 4l^2 + 10 - 4d - \frac{d+1}{2}, \geq d]]_l$ quantum code, where $4 \leq d \leq l + 1$ and $d \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$.*

Proof. (i) Let $4 \leq d \leq l$ and $d \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. Let C_1 be a Hermitian dual containing code with parameter $[l^2 - 1, l^2 - \frac{d}{4}, \frac{d}{4}]_{l^2}$, and $C_2 = C_3$ be Hermitian dual containing codes with same parameter $[l^2 - 1, l^2 - \frac{d}{2}, \frac{d}{2}]_{l^2}$. Let C_4 be a Hermitian dual containing $[l^2 - 1, l^2 - d, d]_{l^2}$ code. By Lemma 3.3 (i) and Corollary 3.2, there exists an $[[4l^2 - 4, 4l^2 + 4 - 4d - \frac{d}{2}, \geq d]]_l$ quantum code.

(ii) Let $4 \leq d \leq l$ and $d \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$. By Lemma 3.3 (ii), taking C_1 is a Hermitian dual containing $[l^2 - 1, l^2 - \frac{d+1}{4}, \frac{d+1}{4}]_{l^2}$ code, and $C_2 = C_3$ are two Hermitian dual containing $[l^2 - 1, l^2 - \frac{d+1}{2}, \frac{d+1}{2}]_{l^2}$ codes, and C_4 is a Hermitian dual containing $[l^2 - 1, l^2 - d, d]_{l^2}$ code, we have that there exists an $[[4l^2 - 4, 4l^2 + 6 - 4d - \frac{d+1}{2}, \geq d]]_l$ quantum code by Corollary 3.2.

Similarly, we can finish the proof of other cases. □

Table 1: QUANTUM CODES COMPARISON

new quantum codes	quantum codes from [13]
$[[96, 86, \geq 4]]_5$	$[[96, 82, 4]]_5$
$[[104, 94, \geq 4]]_5$	$[[104, 94, 4]]_5$
$[[192, 182, \geq 4]]_7$	$[[192, 182, 3]]_7$
$[[192, 170, \geq 7]]_7$	$[[192, 170, 5]]_7$
$[[200, 190, \geq 4]]_7$	$[[200, 188, 4]]_7$
$[[200, 172, \geq 8]]_7$	$[[200, 172, 8]]_7$
$[[320, 310, \geq 4]]_9$	$[[320, 310, 3]]_9$
$[[320, 292, \geq 8]]_9$	$[[320, 284, 8]]_9$
$[[320, 298, \geq 7]]_9$	$[[320, 298, 5]]_9$
$[[328, 318, \geq 4]]_9$	$[[328, 318, 4]]_9$

Remark 3.6. *By Theorem 3.5, we obtain some new quantum codes. Comparing to the quantum codes obtained in [13], new quantum codes in table 1 have better parameters.*

Theorem 3.7. Let \mathcal{C}_i be an $[n, k_i^*, d_i]_{l^2}$ linear code and $\mathcal{C}_i^{\perp_h} \subset \mathcal{C}_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$. If $\mathcal{C}_1 \subset \mathcal{C}_2 \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{C}_s$ and A is an $s \times s$ NSC upper-triangular matrix, then

$$([\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2, \dots, \mathcal{C}_s]A)^{\perp_h} \subset [\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2, \dots, \mathcal{C}_s]A.$$

Proof. Since A is an $s \times s$ NSC upper-triangular matrix, and $[(A^{(l)})^{-1}]^T$ is an $s \times s$ lower-triangular matrix, then we suppose that

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1s} \\ 0 & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2s} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & a_{ss} \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$[(A^{(l)})^{-1}]^T = \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ b_{21} & b_{22} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ b_{s1} & b_{s2} & \cdots & b_{ss} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $a_{11}a_{22}\cdots a_{ss} \neq 0$. By Definition 2.1, we have

$$[\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2, \dots, \mathcal{C}_s]A = \{(a_{11}c_1, a_{12}c_1 + a_{22}c_2, \dots, a_{1s}c_1 + a_{2s}c_2 + \dots + a_{ss}c_s) \mid c_i \in \mathcal{C}_i, 1 \leq i \leq s\}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & [C_1^{\perp_h}, C_2^{\perp_h}, \dots, C_s^{\perp_h}][(A^{(l)})^{-1}]^T \\ &= \{b_{11}c_1^{\perp_h} + b_{21}c_2^{\perp_h} + \dots + b_{s1}c_s^{\perp_h}, b_{22}c_2^{\perp_h} + \dots + b_{s2}c_s^{\perp_h}, \dots, b_{ss}c_s^{\perp_h} \mid \\ & \quad c_1^{\perp_h} \in C_1^{\perp_h}, c_2^{\perp_h} \in C_2^{\perp_h}, \dots, c_s^{\perp_h} \in C_s^{\perp_h}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we prove for any $(b_{11}c_1^{\perp_h} + b_{21}c_2^{\perp_h} + \dots + b_{s1}c_s^{\perp_h}, b_{22}c_2^{\perp_h} + \dots + b_{s2}c_s^{\perp_h}, \dots, b_{ss}c_s^{\perp_h}) \in [C_1^{\perp_h}, C_2^{\perp_h}, \dots, C_s^{\perp_h}][(A^{(l)})^{-1}]^T$, there exist $\tilde{c}_1 \in C_1, \tilde{c}_2 \in C_2, \dots, \tilde{c}_s \in C_s$ such that

$$[\tilde{c}_1, \tilde{c}_2, \dots, \tilde{c}_s]A = (b_{11}c_1^{\perp_h} + b_{21}c_2^{\perp_h} + \dots + b_{s1}c_s^{\perp_h}, b_{22}c_2^{\perp_h} + \dots + b_{s2}c_s^{\perp_h}, \dots, b_{ss}c_s^{\perp_h})$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} & (a_{11}\tilde{c}_1, a_{12}\tilde{c}_1 + a_{22}\tilde{c}_2, \dots, a_{1s}\tilde{c}_1 + a_{2s}\tilde{c}_2 + \dots + a_{ss}\tilde{c}_s) \\ &= (b_{11}c_1^{\perp_h} + b_{21}c_2^{\perp_h} + \dots + b_{s1}c_s^{\perp_h}, b_{22}c_2^{\perp_h} + \dots + b_{s2}c_s^{\perp_h}, \dots, b_{ss}c_s^{\perp_h}). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\beta_1 = b_{11}c_1^{\perp_h} + b_{21}c_2^{\perp_h} + \dots + b_{s1}c_s^{\perp_h}$, $\beta_2 = b_{22}c_2^{\perp_h} + \dots + b_{s2}c_s^{\perp_h}, \dots, \beta_s = b_{ss}c_s^{\perp_h}$. If $k = 1$, we let $\tilde{c}_1 = \frac{1}{a_{11}}\beta_1$, then obtain $\tilde{c}_1 \in C_1$ and $a_{11}\tilde{c}_1 = \beta_1$. If $k = 2, 3, \dots, s$, let

$$\tilde{c}_k = -\frac{1}{a_{kk}}(a_{1k}\tilde{c}_1 + a_{2k}\tilde{c}_2 + \dots + a_{k-1,k}\tilde{c}_{k-1} - \beta_k),$$

we have $\tilde{c}_k \in C_k$ and $a_{1k}\tilde{c}_1 + a_{2k}\tilde{c}_2 + \dots + a_{k-1,k}\tilde{c}_{k-1} + a_{kk}\tilde{c}_k = \beta_k$,

Therefore, we are done. □

Lemma 3.8. [8] Assume the notations given as above. Let $l \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $n = l^2 + 1$, suppose $t = \frac{n}{2}$. If C is a negacyclic code of length n with defining set $Z = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\delta} C_{t-2i}$, where $0 \leq \delta \leq \frac{l-1}{2}$, then $C^{\perp_h} \subset C$.

Lemma 3.9. [8] Let $n = \frac{l^2+1}{2}$, where l is a power of an odd prime. If C is a negacyclic code of length n with defining set $Z = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\delta} C_{2i-1}$, where $1 \leq \delta \leq \frac{l-1}{2}$, then $C^{\perp_h} \subset C$.

Theorem 3.10. Assume the notations given as above. Let $l \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $n = l^2 + 1$. Let $t = \frac{n}{2}$, and let C_j be negacyclic code of length n with defining set $Z_j = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\delta_j} C_{t-2i}$ for $j = 1, 2, 3$, where $1 \leq \delta_1 < \delta_2 < \delta_3 \leq \frac{l-1}{2}$, then there exists an $[[3l^2 + 3, 3l^2 + 3 - 2\delta_1 - 2\delta_2 - 2\delta_3, \geq d(C_3)]]_l$ quantum code.

Proof. It is easy to see that $C_1 \subset C_2 \subset C_3$. By Lemma 3.8, we have $C_1^{\perp_h} \subset C_1$, $C_2^{\perp_h} \subset C_2$, and $C_3^{\perp_h} \subset C_3$. Let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

then we have A is an 3×3 NSC upper-triangular matrix. By Theorem 3.7, we have

$$([C_1, C_2, C_3]A)^{\perp_h} \subset [C_1, C_2, C_3]A.$$

By Lemma 2.3, we get $[C_1, C_2, C_3]A$ is an $[3l^2 + 3, 3l^2 + 3 - \delta_1 - \delta_2 - \delta_3, \geq d(C_3)]_{l^2}$ code. Then by the Hermitian construction, there exists an $[[3l^2 + 3, 3l^2 + 3 - 2\delta_1 - 2\delta_2 - 2\delta_3, \geq d(C_3)]]_l$ quantum code. \square

Example 3.11. By Theorem 3.10, taking some special l , we obtain the following new quantum MDS codes.

l	n	New quantum MDS codes
5	26	$[[78, 72, 4]]_5, [[78, 68, 6]]_5$
9	82	$[[246, 240, 4]]_9, [[246, 236, 6]]_9, [[246, 232, 8]]_9,$ $[[246, 228, 10]]_9, [[246, 232, 8]]_9, [[246, 228, 10]]_9$
13	170	$[[510, 504, 4]]_{13}, [[510, 500, 6]]_{13}, [[510, 496, 8]]_{13},$ $[[510, 492, 10]]_{13}, [[510, 488, 12]]_{13}, [[510, 484, 14]]_{13}$
17	290	$[[870, 864, 4]]_{17}, [[870, 860, 6]]_{17}, [[870, 856, 8]]_{17}, [[870, 852, 10]]_{17},$ $[[870, 848, 12]]_{17}, [[870, 844, 14]]_{17}, [[870, 840, 16]]_{17}, [[870, 836, 18]]_{17}.$

By a similar proof as that in Theorem 3.10 and using Lemma 3.9, we have the following result.

Theorem 3.12. Let $n = \frac{l^2+1}{2}$, where $l \geq 7$ is a power of an odd prime. If C_j is negacyclic code of length n with defining set $Z_j = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\delta_j} C_{2i-1}$ for $j = 1, 2, 3$, where $1 \leq \delta_1 < \delta_2 < \delta_3 \leq \frac{l-1}{2}$, then there exists an $[[3n, 3n - 2\delta_1 - 2\delta_2 - 2\delta_3, \geq d(C_3)]]_{l^2}$ quantum code.

Example 3.13. By Theorem 3.12, taking some special l , we also obtain some new quantum MDS codes.

l	n	New quantum MDS codes
7	25	$[[75, 67, 5]]_7, [[75, 63, 7]]_7$
11	61	$[[183, 175, 5]]_{11}, [[183, 171, 7]]_{11}, [[183, 167, 9]]_{11}, [[183, 163, 11]]_{11}.$
13	85	$[[255, 247, 5]]_{13}, [[255, 243, 7]]_{13}, [[255, 239, 9]]_{13}, [[255, 235, 11]]_{13},$ $[[255, 231, 13]]_{13}.$
17	145	$[[435, 427, 5]]_{17}, [[435, 423, 7]]_{17}, [[435, 419, 9]]_{17}, [[435, 415, 11]]_{17},$ $[[435, 411, 13]]_{17}, [[435, 407, 15]]_{17}, [[435, 403, 17]]_{17}.$

4 Conclusion

We give two methods to construct quantum codes from matrix-product codes. From our main results, we obtain some good quantum codes and we believe that more good quantum codes can be obtained from matrix-product codes.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Research Funds of Hubei Province, Grant No. D20144401.

References

- [1] S. A. Aly, A. Klappenecker and P. K. Sarvepalli, “On quantum and classical BCH codes”, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory **53**(3), 1183–1188(2007).
- [2] A. Ashikhmin and E. Knill, “Nonbinary quantum stabilizer codes, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory”, **47**(7), 3065–3072(2001).
- [3] A. M. Steane, “Multiple-particle interference and quantum error correctionPhys”, Proceedings: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences, **452**(1954), 2551–2577(1996).
- [4] A. R. Calderbank, E. M. Rains, P. W. Shor and N. J. A. Sloane, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory **44**, 1369 (1998).
- [5] L. Jin, S. Ling, J. Luo and C. Xing, “Application of classical Hermitian self-orthogonal MDS codes to quantum MDS codes”, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, **56**(9), 4735–4740(2010).
- [6] L. Jin and C. Xing, “A construction of new quantum MDS codes”, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, **60**(5), 2921–2925(2014).

- [7] B. Chen, S. Ling and G. Zhang, “Application of constacyclic codes to quantum MDS codes”, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, **61**(3), 1474–1484(2015).
- [8] X. Kai and S. Zhu, “New quantum MDS codes from negacyclic codes”, *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, **59**(2), 1193–1197(2013).
- [9] X. Kai, S. Zhu and P. Li, “Constacyclic codes and some new quantum MDS codes”, *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, **60**(4), 2080–2085(2014).
- [10] G.G.La Guardia, “New quantum MDS codes”, *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, **57**(8), 5551–5554(2011).
- [11] T. Blackmore and G.H. Norton, “Matrix-product codes over \mathbb{F}_q ”, *Appl. Algebra Eng. Commun. Comput.*, **12**, 477–500(2001).
- [12] S.Ling , P. Sol , “On the algebraic structure of quasi-cyclic codes I: finite fields”, *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, **47**(7), 2751–2760(2001).
- [13] Y. Edel, “Some good quantum twisted codes”, Online available at <https://www.mathi.uni-heidelberg.de/~yves/Matritzen/QT BCH/QT BCHIndex.html>.
- [14] C. Galindo, F. Hernando, and D. Ruano, “New quantum codes from evaluation and matrix-product codes”, arXiv:1406.0650.
- [15] T. Zhang and G. Ge, “Quantum codes from generalized Reed-solomon codes and matrix-product codes”, arXiv:1508.00978v1.